PUNJAB SERVICE TRIBUNAL, LAHORE

ORDER SHEET



2493/2021

APPELLANT/ **PETITIONER**

APPEAL NO. ______Abdul Karim, Inspector No.C/1138

Versus
Department: Police

RESPONDENT

	RESI ONDEN				
Date of order of proceeding	Order with signature of Chairman, Members and that of parties or counsel, where necessary.				
11	2				
25.04.20	24 PRESENT				
	 Mr. Allah Nawaz Khosa, Advocate Counsel for the appellant. Mr. Atta M. Khan, District Attorney. Mr. Nazim Hussain, SI, DR. Mr. Asim Gulraiz, SI, DR. 				
\$ 0.5kg	The appellant was proceeded against departmentally cory under Punjab Employees Efficiency, Discipline & Accountability SECTION Ci, 2006 on the following allegations:-				
	"On 15.11.2006, Mr. Rana Fazal Elahi DSP/Investigation Branch Punjab Lahore paid a surprise visit to PS Danewal and observed the following irregularities/deficiencies:-				
è	As SHO you failed to write mandatory diary in the Roznamcha regarding Govt. properties."				
7	On the above said allegations, the appellant was awarded penalty of "Censure. His departmental appeal was rejected. Hence, the instant appeal filed before this Tribunal.				
ب					
,					
75-4-	2. I have heard the learned counsel for the appellant, learned District Attorney on behalf of the state are cord.				
dody	3. The appellant was proceeded against for his failure to write mandatory diary regarding Government property. The appellant has filed a detailed reply, in which, he has stated that he has not committed any wrong doing and he had entered in the Roznamcha about Government property. In this regard, a Rappat No.9 dated 09.11.2007 is also available on record. From				

2493/2023

A 1	NI -	•		
Appeal	NO.			

2 3 which, it appears that the Department & taking into consideration the version of the appellant has passed the impugned punishment. In view of the Rappat available on record, there is no justification for upholding the said punishment. The impugned orders of the Departmental Authorities are set aside. Resultantly, the instant appeal is accepted. bdul Rafig D8.8.1. N. Carrice Tribunal Lhr. Form No., sion of application (or certified Date by was completed, Total Date of Delivery..... of eraminor / Copy Clark.

ORE THE PUNJAB SERVICE TRIBUNAL, LAHORE,



Inspector Abdul karim No.C/1138 currently posted at Counter Terrorism Department, vehari.

.....Appellant

VERSUS

V. The Deputy Inspector General, Counter Terrorism Department, Lahore.

The District Police Officer, Khanewal.

.....Respondents

APPEAL UNDER SECTION 04 OF SERVICE TRIBUNAL ACT 1974 AGAINST THE ORDER DATED 30.04.2008, COMMUNICATED ON 01.03.2021 OF PUNISHMENT OF CENSURE BY THE PUNISHING RESPONDENT.

Respectfully Sheweth:-

2.

- That the names and address of the parties have correctly been given in the head note of instant Appeal for the purpose of services and all other allied communications.
 - That succinct facts progenerating the instant Appeal are that allegedly the appellant was proceeded against departmentally by the Respondent No. 02 on following charge:-

 As SHO he failed to wrote mandatory diary in Rozanamcha regarding Government Properties.

That the appellant was served with a showcause notice. Copy of Showcause notice is enclosed as Annexure-A.

That the appellant submitted his written reply within in time. Copy of reply is enclosed as Annexure-B.

- That the appellant was awarded with punishment of Censure by the respondent. These orders were not communicated to appellant. Copy of order of punishment is enclosed as Annexure-C.
- 6. That orders dated 30.04.2008 passed by the Respondent were not communicated to appellant. During recent process infliction of this punishment on 07.04.2020, appellant filed application through District Officer CTD Vehari to Regional Office, CTD. Multan, Region Multan, upon which copy of the orders was supplied to app llant on 15.04.2020, copies of application with forwarding letter and are enclosed as Annexure-D,& D/1.
- 7. That appellant preferred an appeal to respondent No. 01 against the impugned order dated 30.04.2008 after the communication on dated 14.04.2020 and appeal was preferred on 12.05.2020 which was being rejected by the respondent No. 01. Copies of appeal and order of rejection of appeal is enclosed as Annexure- E & E/1.
- That orders dated 30.04.2008 are not maintainable and liable to be set aside, inter alia, on the following: -

163

Grounds.



- 1. That the impugned orders are illegal, ultra-vires and contrary to the mandatory provisions of law/ rules on the subject.
- 2. That neither any proper nor preliminary enquiry was conducted into the matter against the appellant. As such he was opportunity of defence. deprived of
- 3. That appellant always performed his duty with devotion and sense of responsibility. He has been punished for no fault on his part.
- That the allegation leveled against the appellant are against the facts 4. on record. On checking Malkhana, Arms/Ammunition and Govt. Properties all the articles were found correct according to entries in Register No. 16. In this respect appellant recorded entry No. 09 dated 08.11.2006 in the Roznamcha. A copy of DD entry is enclosed as Annexure-F.
- That neither any proper inquiry nor preliminary inquiry was conducted 5. into the matter against the appellant.
- That the orders passed by the Respondents are not only against the 6. law and facts but also contrary to well established principle of justice, equity and good conscience.

That Respondents have not seen the case in its true perspective thus has committed material illegalities and irregularities while passing the impugned judgment and decree, which has resulted in grave miscarriage of justice.

That the orders have been passed by the respondents in hasty, mechanical, arbitrary and fanciful manner and passed without applying judicial and judicious mind, in slip-shod manner, whereas under the law it is required that the order should be passed with convincing reasons, which are missing in the impugned orders.

That act of the respondents are highly objectionable, arbitrary, fanciful 9. against the mandate and demand of constitution as well as law. That it is the duty of every public functionary who acts on behalf of Government to act according to the law, fairly and justly with the citizens of this country.

Prayer:-

In view of above facts it is respectfully prayed that by accepting this appeal the impugned order dated 30.04.2008 passed by respondent No. 2, thus be set-aside.



8.

Any other relief, which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper may also be awarded to the appellant, in the interest of justice.

..........Humble Appellant

Through Counsel:-

Allah Nawaz Khosa



Muhammad Rehan Baqir

Advocates High Court, Office# 09, Ground Floor Peoples Buildings, 05-Link Farid Kot Road, Lahore.

CERTIFICATE:-

Certified that as per instructions given the appellant, this is first petition on behalf of the appellant against impugned orders.

Advocate

Punjai Service Tribunal Lahore

4/7/2